JOSEPH A. MOORE, Memorial University of Newfoundland; VELLO SERMAT, York University, Toronto, Ontario.

# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SELF-ACTUALIZATION AND SELF-REPORTED LONELINESS\*

ABSTRACT: Undergraduate students who wished to enter sensitivity groups filled in Shostrom's Personal Orientation Inventory and also indicated their degree of loneliness. Ss low in loneliness obtained higher scores on the personality scale, with eight of the 12 subscales significantly different from Ss high in loneliness. There follows a discussion on the supported general hypothesis, that individuals who identify themselves as being more lonely are also likely to be less self-actualized.

The views on loneliness of Rogers (1961, 1970) and Whitehorn (1961) suggest that the lonely individual is less likely to give direct expression to his own talents, abilities, needs, etc., i.e. he is likely to be less "self-actualized." In the present study, one measure of self-actualization, Shostrom's Personal Orientation Inventory (1964, 1966), was correlated with the degree of self-reported loneliness to determine whether there are significant personality differences between more and less lonely people on this particular dimension.

### METHOD

### Subjects

Ss were 125 York University undergraduate students who responded to an invitation to participate in sensitivity groups conducted by the Psychological Services Department of York University. Each participant was asked to complete Shostrom's Inventory, an outcome expectation questionnaire which is not relevant in this study, and a survey of their activities. The latter contained two questions concerning experiences of loneliness: To what extent have you felt lonely since you arrived on campus? To what extent did you feel lonely during the past year? Since these two loneliness questions correlated .82, the subsequent statistical analyses were based on self-reported loneliness over the past year.

## Measures

Shostrom's Inventory (1964, 1966) was used to obtain a measure of self-actualization. It consists of 150 items, each of which requires the person to choose between two opposite statements, both representing

<sup>\*</sup>Thanks to Dr. Herbert Pollack for making available some of the data he had helped to gather.

personality characteristics which purport to reflect self-actualization. Research on Shostrom's Inventory suggests it is reasonably valid and reliable (Foulds, 1969; Knapp, 1965; Leib & Snyter, 1967; Shostrom & Knapp, 1966).

Loneliness was measured by asking Ss to rate separately, on a six-point continuum ranging from "none-at-all" to "all-the-time," the degree of loneliness they had experienced since their arrival on campus and also during the past year. Ss were then rank-ordered according to the latter. The 41 Ss who indicated that they were "not-at-all" lonely or "a little lonely" were called low in loneliness and the 32 Ss who indicated that they were lonely "frequently" or "all-the-time," were called high in loneliness.

## TABLE 1

Comparison of High a	nd Low Loneliness Groups on Shostrom's
Person	al Orientation Inventory

Scale	Ss Low in Loneliness (N = 41) Mean SD		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ss High in Loneliness} \\ (N = 32) \\ \text{Mean} \qquad \text{SD} \end{array}$		t	<i>p</i> *<
Time Competent Inner Directed	16.4 83.9	3.6 10.3	14.3 77.7	3.0 10.8	2.48 2.33	.01 .05
Self-Actualizing Value Existentiality Feeling Reactivity Spontaneity Self-Regard Self-Acceptance Nature of Man Synergy	e 19.4 21.8 16.3 12.5 10.9 15.0 11.9 6.9	3.0 3.4 3.0 2.8 2.8 3.4 2.2 1.4	$18.0 \\ 21.3 \\ 14.7 \\ 10.0 \\ 8.8 \\ 14.2 \\ 11.5 \\ 6.8$	$3.1 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.1$	$1.93 \\ 0.62 \\ 2.08 \\ 3.50 \\ 2.97 \\ 0.94 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.11$	.05 .01 .01
Acceptance of Aggression Capacity for Intimate Contact	15.1 17.9	3.2 3.2	14.0 16.5	2.8 3.3	1.92 1.69	.05 .05

\*one-tailed test

## RESULTS

There were no significant differences between men and women in loneliness. On all Shostrom's scales Ss low in loneliness obtained higher scores than did Ss high in loneliness, suggesting a greater overall level of self-actualization for the former (Table 1). Results for five scales had p < .05 and for three, p < .01. Both major scales (Time Competent and Inner Directed) yielded significant differences between groups. Thus the results support the general hypothesis that individuals who identify themselves as being lonely are also likely to be less selfactualized.

## DISCUSSION

According to Shostrom (1964, 1966), the Time Competent scale indicates that Ss low in loneliness live more fully in the "here-and-now"

than Ss high in loneliness; they are not unduly burdened by guilt. regret, and resentment concerning their past nor by rigid or overidealistic goals for the future. Scores on the scale, Inner Directed. suggest they are more inner-directed, i.e., guided more by internal motivations than by external pressures and influences, than Ss high in loneliness.

The significantly lower scores of Ss high in loneliness on the Feeling Reactivity, Spontaneity, Acceptance of Aggression, and Capacity for Intimate Contact scales indicate that these individuals report tendencies to find it more difficult to recognize and to act on their feelings, to express themselves spontaneously, to view anger and aggression in themselves as normal and acceptable phenomena, and to develop personal, intimate relationships with others. This interpretation is supported by an unpublished study by the second author, showing that most Ss rank the difficulty of communicating with another person about personal thoughts and feelings as the key problem in loneliness, and that such difficulty is related to the degree of severity of self-reported loneliness. In addition, the present results also show Ss high in loneliness are lower on the subscales for Selfactualizing Value and Self-regard.

The sample studied was composed of volunteers for sensitivity groups, who, on the basis of other unpublished data, appear to be somewhat more lonely than the general student population. Furthermore, it may be that the loneliness experienced by these Ss is of a particular kind. Therefore, caution should be exercised in generalizing these findings to other populations.

RESUME: On a demandé à des collégiens qui souhaitaient de participer à un groupe de sensibilisation de remplir l'"Inventaire de Personnalité de Shostrom" et d'indiquer en plus leur degré de solitude. Les sujets les moins solitaires obtinnent des scores significativement plus élevés que les plus solitaires à 8 des 12 échelles de l'inventaire. L'article présente une discussion de l'hypothèse selon laquelle les individus qui se croient plus solitaires sont aussi ceux qui s'actualisent le moins.

#### REFERENCES

Foulds, M. Self-actualization and the communication of facilitative conditions

during counseling. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1969, 16, 132-136.
Knapp, R. Relationship of a measure of self-actualization to neuroticism and extraversion. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1965, 12, 168-172.
Leib, J., & Snyter, J. Effects of group discussion on underachievement and self-actualization. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1967, 14, 282-285.

Maslow, A. Motivation and personality. New York: Harper, 1954.
Rogers, C. R. The loneliness of contemporary man as seen in the "case of Ellen West." Annals of Psychotherapy, 1961, 2, 22-27.
Rogers, C. R. Encounter groups. New York: Harper & Row, 1970.

Shostrom, E. A test for the measurement of self-actualization. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1964, 24, 207-218. Shostrom, E. Manual: Personal orientation inventory. San Diego: Educa-

tional and Industrial Testing Service, 1966. Shostrom, E., & Knapp, R. The relationship of a measure of self-actualiza-

tion to a measure of pathology and to therapeutic growth. American Journal of Psychotherapy, 1966, 20, 193-202. Whitehorn, J. C. On loneliness and the incongruous self-image. Annals of

Psychotherapy, 1961, 2, 15-17.